


No. 83-644

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES



October Term, 1983

ROBERT C. HATCH, CLAUDIA R. HATCH,
and TRUSTORS OF HERITAGE TRUST COMPANY

Petitioners

vs.

RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY and
JOHN R. BROMLEY

Respondents

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
FIFTH CIRCUIT

PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX
TO PETITION FOR WRIT

Robert B. Cumming
383 N. Roberts Way
Camano Island, WA 98292
(206) 387-9603

Attorney for Petitioners

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX
ORDERS OF THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
FIFTH CIRCUIT

(Case No. 83-2433)

GILBERT F. GANUCHEAU
 CLERK

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FIFTH CIRCUIT

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
 600 Camp Street
 New Orleans, LA 70130

COMM.	FTS
(504)589	682
<hr/>	
CASE INQUIRIES	
East. & Mid. LA	6194
No. & West TX	4167
So. & East TX	6131
MS, Agency &	
West LA	3892
Other	6514

July 29, 1983

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL
OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW:

No. 83-2433 - IN RE: ROBERT C. HATCH, ET AL.,
(Dist. Ct. No. G-82-358)

The following action has been taken in the above case:

- ☐ AN EXTENSION OF TIME has been granted to and including:
- ☐ for filing appellant's/petitioner's brief
 - ☐ for filing appellee's/respondent's brief
 - ☐ for filing reply brief.

☐ for filing petition for rehearing.

☐

☐ Motion to consolidate granted.

☐ Motion to supplement or correct the record granted.

☐ Motion for leave to file supplemental brief granted.

☐ Motion for leave to file brief amicus curiae is granted.

☐ Joint motion as to time for filing briefs granted.

☒ Order enclosed has been entered.

☐

GILBERT F. GANUCHEAU, Clerk

Hon. Hugh M. Gibson
cc: Mr. Robert B. Cumming
Mr. David E. Warden
Mr. John R. Bromley, Jr.
Mr. Jesse E. Clark, Clerk

By: (signed) Sarah L. Holmes
Deputy Clerk

MOT-2

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

U.S. Court of Appeals

FILED

Jul 29, 1983

Gilbert F. Ganucheau

Clerk

No. 83-2433

IN RE:

ROBERT C. HATCH, ET AL.,

Petitioners.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United
States District Court for the Southern District
of Texas

Before CLARK, Chief Judge, RUBIN and GARWOOD,
Circuit Judges.

B Y T H E C O U R T:

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for writ of
mandamus is **DENIED**.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

U.S. Court of Appeals

FILED

Sep 19, 1983

Gilbert F. Ganucheau

Clerk

No. 83-2433

IN RE:

ROBERT C. HATCH, ET AL.,

Petitioners.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United
States District Court for the Southern District
of Texas

ON PETITION FOR REHEARING AND SUGGESTION FOR
REHEARING EN BANC

(Order July 29, 1983, 5 Cir., 198 , F.2d)
(September 19, 1983)

Before CLARK, Chief Judge, RUBIN and GARWOOD,
Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

(X) The Petition for Rehearing is DENIED and no member of this panel for Judge in regular active service on the Court having requested that the Court be polled on rehearing en banc, (Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Local Rule 35) the Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc is DENIED.

() The Petition for Rehearing is DENIED and the Court having been polled at the request of one of the members of the Court and a majority of the Circuit Judges who are in regular active service not having voted in favor of it, (Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Local Rule 35) the Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc is also DENIED.

() A member of the Court in active service having requested a poll on the reconsideration of this cause en banc, and a majority of the judges in active service not having voted in favor of it, rehearing en banc is DENIED.

ENTERED FOR THE COURT:

CLERK'S NOTE:

SEE FRAP AND LOCAL
RULES 41 FOR STAY OF
THE MANDATE

(signed) Charles Clark
United States Circuit Judge

REHG-6

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

U.S. Court of Appeals

FILED

Oct 17, 1983

Gilbert F. Ganucheau

Clerk

 No. 83-2433

IN RE:

ROBERT C. HATCH, ET AL.,

Petitioners.

 Appeal from the United States District Court for
 the Southern District of Texas

O R D E R :

☒ The motion of petitioners
 for ☒ stay ☐ recall and stay of the issuance of the
 mandate pending petition for writ of certiorari is
 DENIED.

☐ The motion of petitioners
 for ☒ stay ☐ recall and stay of the issuance of the
 mandate pending petition for writ of certiorari is
 GRANTED to and including _____,
 the stay to continue in force until the final disposition
 of the case by the Supreme Court, provided that within
 the period above mentioned there shall be filed with
 the Clerk of the Court the certificate of the Clerk
 of the Supreme Court that the certiorari petition has
 been filed. The Clerk shall issue the mandate upon
 the filing of a copy of an order of the Supreme Court
 denying the writ, or upon the expiration of the stay
 granted herein, unless the above mentioned certificate
 shall be filed with the Clerk of this Court within that
 time.

- ☐ The motion of _____
for a further stay of the issuance of the mandate is
GRANTED to and including _____,
under the same conditions as set forth in the preceding
paragraph.
- ☐ The motion of _____
for a further stay of the issuance of the mandate is
DENIED.

(signed) Charles Clark
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

MDT-4

The foregoing Supplemental Appendix is respectfully submitted by attorney for petitioners.

Robert B. Cumming
383 N. Roberts Way
Camano Island, WA 98292
(206) 387-9603